

FROM
Intellectual Property Group of
Pillsbury Winthrop LLP
Attorneys at Law
P.O. Box 10500
McLean, VA 22102

Telephone: (703) 905-2000

Our Facsimile #: (703) 905-2500

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSIONRECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 11 2004

OFFICIAL

TO: UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICEFACSIMILE #: (703) (703) 872-9306No. Pages (Including this page) 3 FAX Opr: Theresa TogbaIF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE CLEARLY ALL PAGES, PLEASE CONTACT US IMMEDIATELYBy Telephone AT: (703) 905-2205 (local)
or (703) 905-2000 (local)

->->->

USPTO:PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE CLEAR RECEIPT OF ALL PAGES INDICATED
ABOVE BY FAXING THIS PAGE BACK TO ONE OF OUR FACSIMILE
NUMBERS STATED ABOVE

In re PATENT APPLICATION of

Inventor(s) BOONMAN et al.Appln. No. 10/065,784Group Art Unit: 2851Examiner: Kim, Peter B.series code ↑ serial no.
Filed: February 6, 2002Atty. Dkt. PM 290647

M#

TITLE: LITHOGRAPHIC APPARATUS,
DEVICE MANUFACTURING METHOD,
AND DEVICE MANUFACTURED
THEREBYDate: February 11, 2004Name or type of signed paper being transmitted:
Response Under 37 C.F.R. 1.312MESSAGE:

(ATTN. Atty/Sec.: Transmit only one paper herewith. For papers not acceptable by fax, see back side of LAN
Form Directory PAT-286 Rear. Do not file originals but fasten them in our file (left side) with
this sheet and fax receipt on top. Do NOT send the originals nor a confirmation copy to the PTO.)

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSIONI hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent
and Trademark Office on the date shown below.Name John P. Darling
Reg. No. 44,482

Sig.

John P. DarlingDate February 11, 200381468/290647

C# / M#

Attorney Docket: 081468-0290647
Client Reference: P-0233.010-US

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 11 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re PATENT APPLICATION of:
BOONMAN et al.

Confirmation Number: 4534

Application No.: 10/066,784

Group Art Unit: 2851

Filed: February 6, 2002

Examiner: Kim, Peter B.

Title: LITHOGRAPHIC APPARATUS, DEVICE MANUFACTURING METHOD, AND
DEVICE MANUFACTURED THEREBY

OFFICIAL

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.312

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Consideration of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Upon review of this application file after receipt of the Notice of Allowance, dated November 26, 2003, it has come to the undersigned's attention that the Amendment filed October 15, 2003 erroneously indicated that independent claims 1 and 13 recite that a magnification of the projection system is not equal to one. Claims 1 and 13 clearly do not include this limitation. In addition, this limitation was not the basis of the arguments set forth in the October 15, 2003 Amendment and is irrelevant to the patentability of claims 1 and 13.


It is further noted that dependent claims 19 and 21 recite that the magnification of the projection system is not equal to one, making even more clear that the independent claims are not so limited. This distinguishing feature of dependent claims 19 and 21 was noted on page 11, lines 22-27, of the October 15, 2003 Amendment.

BOONMAN et al. - U.S. Application 10/066,784
Attorney's Docker: 081468-0290647

The Applicants have submitted this paper merely to clarify any ambiguities in the record. Should the Examiner have any questions regarding the above, he is invited to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP



John P. Darling

Reg. No. 44,482

Tel. No. (703) 905-2045

Fax No. (703) 905-2500

Date: February 11, 2004

P.O. Box 10500
McLean, VA 22102
(703) 905-2000